

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		-4/E3 O	vvasimy	iton, D.C. 20231	V	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		/	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
09/375,767	08/17/99	SCHNEIDER		D	DPS*1	
-		HM22/1013	7	EXAMINER		
DAVID P SCHNEIDER				LEVY, N		
4 WOODSIDE DR EAST APALACHIN NY 13732				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FIFFILM IN	t a sur seurem			1616	2)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

DATE MAILED: 10/13/00

	Application No.	Apply (s)	NEIDER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	(pry	Group Art Unit	2
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover she	et beneath the o	orrespondence ac	ldress
	\mathcal{D}		S) FROM THE MAI	
iod for Reply HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE WAN	
THIS COMMUNICATION.			he timely filed after SIX	(6) MONTHS
THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state.	BON MILLILL THE STRUCKS, A		and this communical	ion .
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ot for formal matters 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O	, prosecution as .G. 213.	to the ments is of	
oisp sition of Claims 1 - 2 4		is/a	are pending in the a	pplication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/	are withdrawn from	considerati
Of the above claim(s)		is/	are allowed.	ı
☐ Claim(s)		is/	are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is	are objected to.	Į
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		aı re	e subject to restricti quirement.	on or elect
V		240		
Application Papers	Doviow PTO-9)4 8.	proved.	İ
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing neview, 1 10	proved 🗀 disapi		ŀ
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	bjected to by the Ex			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	bjected to by the Ex			·
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	bjected to by the Exer.	aminer. § 11 9(a)-(d).		·
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	bjected to by the Exer. ity under 35 U.S.C. as of the priority doc	aminer. § 11 9(a)-(d). uments have bee	n ,	·
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copic ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Notes and instance of the computer of the comput	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. es of the priority documber)	aminer. § 11 9(a)-(d). uments have bee	n 7.2(a)).	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copic ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Notes and instance of the computer of the comput	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. es of the priority documber)	aminer. § 11 9(a)-(d). uments have bee	n 7.2(a)).	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. es of the priority doc lumber) ne International Bure	aminer. § 11 9(a)-(d). uments have bee	n 7.2(a)).	13
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. es of the priority doc lumber) ne International Bure	aminer. § 11 9(a)-(d). uments have bee	n 7.2(a)) w Summary, PTO-4	13 pplication
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copic ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Noreceived in this national stage application from the complex of the copic application from the complex of the copic application from the complex of the copic application from the copic applicati	er. ity under 35 U.S.C. es of the priority doc lumber) e International Bure	§ 11 9(a)-(d). uments have bee	n 7.2(a)).	pplication

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev 9-97) Part of Paper

Application/Control Number: 09/375767

Art Unit: 1617

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a conglomerate, classified in class 523, subclass 124.
- II. Claims 12-24 are, drawn to an assembly, classified in class 220, subclass 414.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

the conglomerate of group I does not require the container of Group II assembly; they are independent and distinct invention addition ally, species restrictions may be imposed.

Examiner notes the application is a shark different, the claims do not reflect.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/375767

Art Unit: 1617

A telephone call was made to attorney David Ochveider on 9/15/2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F from 7am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding Mullery should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Levy/NW

October 5, 2000